UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,496	07/16/2003	Daniel R. Lewis	20389/00002	9064
John S. Beulick	7590 11/24/200	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square			ULLAH MASUD, MOHAMMAD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 6	53102	3687		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Intonvious Summans	10/620,496	LEWIS ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MOHAMMAD R. ULLAH MASUD	3687					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>MOHAMMAD R. ULLAH MASUD</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>DANIEL FITZGERALD</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.						
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Daniel Fitzgerald stated that no response has been filed in response to office action mailed April 21,2008</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS				
	/Matthew S Gart/	nit 2697					

Paper No. 20081118